



## **Quantum Computing**

**GI - Treffen der Fachgruppe Betriebssysteme** – 29<sup>th</sup> of September 2017 Albert Frisch, PhD - <u>albert.frisch@de.ibm.com</u>

#### Waves of Quantum Revolution

#### Waves of Quantum Revolution





IBM Q

# quantum computing

## Quantum Heuristic Algorithms



#### ...Quantum computers are the only known game-changer



### IBM Q in the press

IBM Q

press anouncement on 6<sup>th</sup> of March 2017: "The First Universal Quantum Computers for Business and Science"

press anouncement on 17<sup>th</sup> of May 2017: 16- and 17-qubit processors

PHYS	RG Nanotechnology ~	Physics ~	Earth ~	Astronomy & Space v	Technology ~	Chemistry ~	Biology ~	Other Sciences v	X ×
								search	۹ 🕹
Home > Technology :	Hardware > May 17, 2017								
I	BM builds its n	nost pow	erful uni	versal quantu	m compu	ting proc	essors		
				- Jeining					
							Fei	atured Last comments	Popular
							active, september active, quarter 5 (active, quarter 2 (active, active) 3 (active) 3 (ac	Another large-scale o underway: experts	yberattack May 17, 2017 🗮 0
		IBM (						Scientists take first te explore potential clima	ntative steps to ate of Proxima B
		HL					C	Physicists use Einstei entanglement to inver gravitational wave de 2017 III 1	n's 'spooky' nt super-sensitive tector @ May 17,
			A G					STEM students who k may miss key concep May 16, 2017 III 3	earn by example ts, study finds @
IE	M Research Staff Member Katie Po esearch Center, working on a new pr	oley, a Physics PhD fi rototype of a commerc	rom Harvard who rec tial quantum process	ently joined IBM, pictured at the Th or, which will be the core for the fir	nomas J Watson st more <del>v</del>		97 (M)	Stars as random num could test foundations 16, 2017 # 9	ber generators s of physics   May

IBM announced today it has successfully built and tested its most powerful universal quantum computing processors. The first new prototype processor will be the core for the first IBM Q early-access commercial The first upgraded processor will be available for use by developers, researchers, and programmers to explore quantum computing using a real quantum processor at no cost via the IBM Cloud. The second is a new prototype of a commercial processor, which will be the core for the first IBM Q early-access commercial system

Feature	d Last comments	Popular
	Another large-scale cy underway: experts   M	berattack ay 17, 2017 ≡ 0
-	Scientists take first ten explore potential climat May 16, 2017 = 1	tative steps to e of Proxima B
2)	Physicists use Einstein entanglement to invent gravitational wave dete 2017 III 1	's 'spooky' super-sensitive ector @ May 17,
	STEM students who lea may miss key concepts May 16, 2017 # 3	arn by example s, study finds @
	Stars as random numb could test foundations 16, 2017 # 9	er generators of physics @ May
		more »

Relevant PhysicsForums posts



IBM aims at constructing commercial IBM Q systems with ~50 qubits in the next few years to demonstrate capabilities beyond today's classical systems



### The Road to Quantum Advantage

#### Quantum Science

#### Quantum Ready

#### Quantum Advantage

Fundamentals of quantum information science		Core algorithm development	Increase quantum volume	Demonstrate an advantage to using QC for real problems of interest	Extract commercial value	Enable scientific discovery
Create and scale qubits with increasing coherence		Standardize performance benchmarks	System infrastructure and software enablement	 		>
Create error detection and mitgation schemes	Launch of IBM <b>Q</b> Experience	₽ 2016		 <b></b> 2020		

~1900

### The Quantum World



**Classical Computer, 1940s** 



Quantum Computer, 2010s

#### classical computer

is in a **deterministic state** at any time defined by all bits of the computer

 $n \text{ bits} \rightarrow 2^n \text{ possible states, one at a time}$ 

#### quantum computer

uses **qubits** to take advantage of quantum speedup **superposition** of states possible "all states at the same time"

#### 50 qubits $\rightarrow 10^{15}$ states simultaneously available

e.g.  $|\psi\rangle = a|000\rangle + b|001\rangle + c|010\rangle + d|011\rangle + \cdots$ 

### A Quantum Algorithm







First part of the algorithm is to make an equal superposition of all 2<sup>n</sup> states by applying H gates



#### The problem

The second part is to encode the problem into this states; put phases on all 2<sup>n</sup> states



#### The magic

The magic of quantum algorithms is to interfere all these states back to a few outcomes containing the solution

### Three Steps of Development





applications

#### optimization



A very specialized form of quantum computing with unproven advantages over other specialized forms of conventional computing.

DIFFICULTY LEVEL



generality restrictive computational power same as traditional computer

## Three Steps of Development

### 2. Approximate Quantum Computer



The most likely form of quantum computing that will first show true quantum speedup over conventional computing. This could happen within the next five years.

DIFFICULTY LEVEL

IBM **Q** 

#### applications

optimization quantum chemistry material sciences quantum dynamics

generality partial computational power high



#### Quantum Volume



IBM Q

# quantum algorithm

#### Quantum Score



- 1. **initialization** of all qubits in  $|0\rangle$
- 2. sequence of operations on single or multiple qubits
- 3. measurement (read-out) concludes algorithm

multiple repetitions for statistical claims necessary

#### Qubits

 $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

superposition



z.B.  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$   $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$   $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)$ 



IBM Quantum Experience - quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/

#### Qubits

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1\\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

superposition

$$|\psi
angle = lpha |0
angle + eta |1
angle \qquad |lpha|^2 + |eta|^2 = 1$$





IBM Quantum Experience - quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/



 $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

superposition



z.B.  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$   $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$   $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)$ 



IBM Quantum Experience - quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/

#### Qubits

 $|0\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $|1\rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0\\1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

superposition



z.B.  $|+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle)$   $|-\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle)$  $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + i|1\rangle)$   $|\circlearrowright\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - i|1\rangle)$ 



IBM Quantum Experience - quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/

#### Measurement and Quantum Gates



#### Decoherence

#### loss of quantum information





**longer coherence times mean lower error rates** which allows more time to compute

IBM Quantum Experience - quantum experience.ng.bluemix.net/

#### IBM Q

#### Quantum Architectures

#### superconducting qubits



#### ion-trap qubits



22

IBM Q

# developments at IBM

## IBM 5-qubit Quantum Computer







"Demonstration of a quantum error detection code using a square lattice of four superconducting qubits", A.D. Córcoles et al., Nat. Comm., 6:6979 (2015)

### Transmon Qubit



[1] "Charge insensitive qubit design derived from the Cooper pair box", J. Koch et al., Phys. Rev. A 76, 042319 (2007)

[2] "Coupling Superconducting Qubits via a Cavity Bus", J. Majer et al., Nature 449, 443-447 (2007)

[3] "Demonstration of a quantum error detection code using a square lattice of four superconducting qubits", A.D. Córcoles et al., Nat. Comm., 6:6979 (2015)

IBM Q

25

## A Scalable Quantum Chip Architecture

fault-tolerant quantum computing via the surface code **topological quantum computing** 

logical qubits formed by delocalized states of data qubits



IBM **Q** 

#### 8 Qubits / 4 Buses / 8 Readouts



16 Qubits / 22 Buses / 16 Readouts

"Building logical qubits in a superconducting quantum computing system", J. Gambetta et al., npj Quantum Information 3, 2 (2017)

### Lab Environment

#### rf electronic equipment



#### dilution refrigerators



IBM Q

# quantum programming

### **IBM Quantum Experience**

#### www.ibm.com/quantumexperience

IBM Q experience							Lear	'n	Exp	erimen	t	GitHul	D	Albert F	ris
Composer	Library						¢	Commu	nity						
New experiment 🗔 New 🖺 Save 🗋 Save as							it	omqx2		Run		~	Simulate	9	~
									0	Gates	Properti	es C	ASM	My Units	
q[0]  0>								_		GATES	0	_	_	Advanc	ed
q[1]  0>								_		id	×	Y	Z	H	
q[2]  0)										S	Sţ	A	т	T	
g[3] IQ										PADDIEL					
											•				
Чt+i  0)										1					
° 0 *								_		OPERAT	IONS				
										$\langle \gamma \rangle$					
(b) Switch to Osem Editor															
															-
BEIA ACIME ibmqx3		<b>Q</b> 0	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	<b>Q</b> 5	Q6	Q7	<b>Q</b> 8	Q9	Q10	Q11	Q12	Q
	Gate Error (10 <sup>-3</sup> )	1.69	1.02	2.71	2.21	5.68	2.01	1.67	3.09	1.44	2.33	1.12	1.65	1.74	0.9
	Readout Error (10 <sup></sup> )	5.57	3.59	4.29	8.55	18.11 CX4_3	5.60	4.40	6.81	9.12	10.18	11.16	4.91 CX11 10	9.33	2.1
	Qubit Gate Error ( $10^{-2}$ )	4.10	6.08	5.46	5.84	15.26		5.53	4.83	8.65	5.04		3.78	5.13	10
						<b>CX4_5</b> 27.37		<b>CX6_11</b> 3.80			<b>CX9_10</b> 6.97			<b>CX12_11</b> 3.30	<b>сж</b> 3.{
														CX12_13	
ACTIME ibmqx2														3.48	•
	Date Calibration	୍ୱି	<u> </u>					<b>0</b> 5)	Q	XG		Qs)			
	2017-06-18 13:36:20	Î	r C	י פ	$\prod$				$\prod$						
	0.0145614 K							$\parallel$							
		Q.) X		115)		Q13	K(	Q12	-2Q11)	Q	10/(	9			
										Lookir	ng for moi	re detail	s? See m	nore here!	Ť

#### IBM Q

# The worlds first cloud quantum computer

Over 40,000 users

All 7 continents

>150 colleges and Universities

Over 300,000 experiments

#### Live Demo



### QISKit - OPENQASM



https://developer.ibm.com/open/openprojects/qiskit/

#### IBM Q

#### e.g. quantum teleportation

// quantum teleportation example IBMQASM 2.0; include "gelib1.inc"; qreg q[3]; creg c0[1];creg c1[1]; creg c2[1]; // optional post-rotation for state tomography gate post q { } u3(0.3,0.2,0.1) q[0]; h q[1]; cx q[1],q[2]; barrier q; cx q[0],q[1]; h q[0];  $q[0] |0\rangle - u_3(0.3, 0.2, 0.1)$ H





# demo

### QISKit - Executing Quantum Algorithms



#### QISKit – Python API and SDK

#### execute OPENQASM code from Python, e.g. Jupyter Notebook

#### **Getting Started**

Now it's time to begin doing real work with Python and the Quantum Experience. First, we import the Python interface for web API:



#### In [2]: import Qconfig

api = IBMQuantumExperience.IBMQuantumExperience(Qconfig.APItoken, Qconfig.config)

In [5]: out = api.run\_job(qasms = [{'qasm' : make\_bell}],device = 'sim',shots = 1024, max\_credits=3)
print(out['status'])

In [7]: get\_data = lambda results, i: results['qasms'][i]['result']['data']['counts']

#### In [8]: data=get\_data(results,0)



#### https://developer.ibm.com/open/openprojects/qiskit/

#### https://github.com/QISKit/

ΙRΜ

IBM Q

# optimization

## Hybrid Quantum-Classical Approach

A simple hybrid quantum-classical algorithm can be used to solve problems by minimizing the energy of the qubit system.



Prepare a trial state  $|\psi(\theta)\rangle$ and compute its energy  $E(\theta)$  Use classical optimizer to choose a new value of  $\theta$  to try

Advantages:

Use short circuits which fit into our coherence time

Improve on best classical estimates by using non-classical trial states

### Quantum Chemistry Experiment

 $\mathsf{IBM}\; \boldsymbol{Q}$ 



### Weighted Max-Cut

where to cut a graph while maximizing the number of edges between two subsets?

NP-complete problem applications in clustering, network science, and statistical physics N nodes, weights of edges w<sub>ii</sub>, weights of nodes w<sub>i</sub>

1. cost function

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j} w_{ij} x_i (1 - x_j) + \sum_i w_i x_i$$

$$(1 - x_j) + \sum_i w_i x_i$$

rewrite using Pauli matrices N qubits necessary  

$$x_i \rightarrow (1 - Z_i)/2$$

$$C(\mathbf{Z}) = \sum_{i < j} \frac{w_{ij}}{2} (1 - Z_i)(1 + Z_j) + \sum_i w_i (1 - Z_i)/2 =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \left( \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} Z_i Z_j + \sum_i w_i Z_i \right) + \text{const}$$

3. solve Ising Hamiltonian

2.

$$H = \sum_{i} w_i Z_i + \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} Z_i Z_j$$

IBW

## Travelling Salesman Problem

#### IBM **Q**



what is the shortest route to visit each city once and return to the origin city?

NP-complete problem, important applications in finance and marketing N nodes, distances as weights  $w_{ij}$  (N-1)! possible routes N<sup>2</sup> variables  $x_{i,p}$ , i ... nodes, p ... order in list

#### 1. cost function

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} w_{ij} \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p} x_{j,p+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_{0j} x_{j,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} w_{i0} x_{i,N-1} + A \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p}\right)^2 + A \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p}\right)^2$$
total distance
return to original city
every city only once
each time one node

2. rewrite using Pauli matrices

(N-1)<sup>2</sup> qubits necessary

3. solve Ising Hamiltonian

## Quantum Approximate Optimization Algorithm IBM Q

[[ 0. 1. 1. 1. 0.

0. 1.

0.

0.

1.

1. 0. 1. 0.11

0. 0.1

0. 1.1

0. 1.1

0.1

0. 0.]

- **1.** choose  $w_{ii}$  and  $w_i$  from Ising Hamiltonian
- **2.** choose depth *m* of quantum circuit
- 3. choose set of control values heta and create trial quantum state  $|\psi( heta)
  angle$

$$|\psi(\theta)\rangle = [U_{\text{single}}(\theta)U_{\text{entangler}}]^m |+\rangle \qquad U_{\text{single}}(\theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n Y(\theta_i)$$

4. evaluate Ising Hamiltonian on trial function by sampling outcome of quantum circuit

$$C(\boldsymbol{\theta}) = \langle \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | H | \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle = \sum_{i} w_{i} \langle \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | Z_{i} | \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle + \sum_{i < j} w_{ij} \langle \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) | Z_{i} Z_{j} | \psi(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \rangle$$

- 5. use a classical optimizer to choose a new set of heta
- 6. repeat steps 4. and 5. until  $C(\theta)$  reaches minimum, close to exact solution  $\theta^*$  sufficient





E. Farhi, J. Goldstone, S. Gutmann e-print arXiv 1411.4028 (2014)



## Example for Trial State Preparation

 $|\psi(\theta)\rangle = \left[U_{\text{single}}(\theta)U_{\text{entangler}}\right]^{m}|+\rangle$ 

```
5 h q[0];
                                                                                                                      6 h q[1];
                                                                                                         |+\rangle
prepare superposition |+\rangle using Hadamard gates
                                                                                                                      7 h q[2];
                                                                                                                           q[3];
apply U_{\text{single}}(\theta)U_{\text{entangler}} m = 3 times
                                                                                             U<sub>entangler</sub>
                                                                       apply U_{\text{single}}(\theta)U_{\text{entangler}} m = 3 times
                                                                                                                     16
                                                                                                                     20
                                                                                                                    21
                                                                                                                    22
                                                                                                                    25
```

OPENQASM 2.0; include "gelib1.inc"; qreg q[4]; creg c[4]; barrier q[0],q[1],q[2],q[3]; 10 cz q[0],q[1]; 11 cz q[1],q[2]; 12 cz q[2],q[3]; ry(0.774302821967637) q[0]; 14 ry(1.213878478460519) q[1]; 15 ry(0.081014534505463) q[2]; ry(0.639908340049906) q[3]; barrier q[0],q[1],q[2],q[3]; 18 cz q[0],q[1]; 19 cz q[1],q[2]; cz q[2],q[3]; ry(0.594261337237044) q[0]; ry(0.418228030778053) q[1]; ry(-2.294033956355921) q[2]; ry(-1.389598877196701) q[3]; barrier q[0],q[1],q[2],q[3]; 26 cz q[0],q[1]; cz q[1],q[2]; 28 cz q[2],q[3]; ry(2.066783534064343) q[0]; ry(-1.138230423916167) q[1]; ry(0.415789403306592) q[2]; 31 32 ry(-0.213067545667126) q[3]; parrier q[0],q[1],q[2],q[3];

IBM



# results

#### MaxCut Optimization - Result Summary

The MaxCut problem: Given a finite graph, color the vertices blue and red such that the number of edges between a blue vertex and a red vertex is as large as possible.



Map problem to qubits: Express problem as energy of Qubits- like Utility function

Solve with heuristic algorithm



IBM O

## Traveling Salesman Problem - Result Summary IBM Q

Recall from the introduction that the cost function of the TSP mapped to binary variables is of the form:

$$C(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{N-1} w_{ij} \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p} x_{j,p+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{N-1} w_{0j} x_{j,1} + \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} w_{i0} x_{i,N-1} + A \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p}\right)^2 + A \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \left(1 - \sum_{p=1}^{N-1} x_{i,p}\right)^2$$



Research: Understand how Quantum resource scales with problem size, how solution accuracy varies with Quantum Volume and error mitigation



### Quantum Simulator – Result Summary

IBM 7-qubit processor used to "encode" electron orbitals





magnetism



#### chemistry



"Hardware-efficient Quantum Optimizer for Small Molecules and Quantum Magnets", A. Kandala et al., Nature 549, 242–246 (2017)

## Quantum Chemistry - H<sub>2</sub> Molecule (2 qubits)



"Hardware-efficient Quantum Optimizer for Small Molecules and Quantum Magnets", A. Kandala et al., Nature 549, 242–246 (2017)

